Request for Expression of Interest (REOI)

EOI Number: REOI21/08/20

DESCRIPTION: The validation of rating and pricing methodology and tool

REOI CLOSING DATE: 28 August 2020

VENUE: Industrial Development Corporation of South Africa Limited (IDC) Head Office, 19 Fredman Drive, Sandton

Agence Française de Développement
And
Industrial Development Corporation of South Africa Limited
1. **About Industrial Development Corporation of South Africa Limited (IDC)**

The Industrial Development Corporation of South Africa Limited (IDC) is South Africa’s largest national development finance institution (DFI) whose primary objectives are to contribute to the generation of balanced, sustainable economic growth in Africa and to the economic empowerment of the South African population, thereby promoting the economic prosperity of all citizens. The IDC achieves this by promoting entrepreneurship through the building of competitive industries and enterprises based on sound business principles.

The IDC is embarking on a process to identify potential service providers with a proven track record in validation of Rating and Pricing methodology and tool and therefore invites responses to this request for expression of interest (REOI).

2. **Project Background**

The Industrial Development Corporation of South Africa Limited ("IDC") has received funding from Agence Française de Développement ("AFD") and intends to use part of the funds thereof for some of the payments made under the following project: **The validation of Rating and Pricing methodology and tool.**

Eligibility criteria to AFD financing are specified in sub-clause 1.3 of the "Procurement Guidelines for AFD-Financed Contracts in Foreign Countries", available online on AFD’s website: https://www.afd.fr/en/responding-bid-invitation

The Industrial Development Corporation of South Africa Limited (IDC’s) Risk management division (RMD) is responsible for the ongoing measuring, monitoring and reporting of credit risk exposures using internal rating and pricing tools. The rating and pricing tools cater for SME/Middle Market and Project Finance portfolios (Debt, Equity and Guarantees). In order to manage and measure credit risk efficiently, IDC follows the Basel accord in the estimation of credit risk parameters such as the PD, LGD and EAD.

In line with Basel best practices, IDC calculates the rating of each exposure based on the following credit risk parameters:

- PD – Probability of Default
- LGD – Loss Given Default
- EAD – Exposure at Default
The rating tool part of the model makes use of the expected loss (EL) components. Therefore, given the technical aspects and Basel requirements in estimating the components of expected loss, the models are considered complex, hence the IDC’s internal validation team wishes to partner with competent and highly qualified external experts to validate the estimation of each of these parameters including their data, calibration, and methodologies.

Additional to the rating tool, IDC makes use of a pricing model to determine the profitability of each deal in the SME/Middle Market and Project Finance portfolios (Debt, Equity and Guarantees). IDC follows a risk-based approach pricing where the output of the rating tool is used as one of the inputs of the pricing model. The purpose of the pricing model is to estimate how much does it cost IDC to conduct business taking into consideration all costs attached to the deal.

Project is estimated to require 1800 man-hours over a period of 3 months.

### 2.1 Objectives:

The main objectives of the assessment will be as follows:

- Validate both the pricing and the rating model which includes the PD, EAD and LGD;
- Validation of modeling data, assumptions and inputs;
- Validating the accuracy of underlying calculations and/or formulae and assessing reasonability of model outputs within the financial services industry; and
- Recalibration of the respective models where necessary (Phase 2).

### 2.2 The outputs and deliverables

The expected outputs, i.e. the deliverables of the technical assistance and direct results is a validation report which includes but not limited to the following:

#### Phase 1

- Validation of modeling data, assumptions and inputs;
- Validating the accuracy of underlying calculations and/or formulae and assessing reasonability of model outputs within the financial services industry;
- Performance of the model;
- Conceptual soundness of methodology and adherence to best practice and Basel;
- Assessment of the model governance;
- Assessment of the current implementation of the models; and
- A detailed list of recommendations based on the review. The list could be potentially ranking between recommendations of low/medium/high importance.
Phase 2

- Implementation of the recommendations, be it the recalibration or redevelopment of the models, in line with the validation findings.

The consultant(s) should demonstrate a practical and implementable action plan of recommendations, whilst considering the existing platforms and software where the models are housed.

The consultant(s) must also take cognisance of the following key elements in terms of the validation of the Rating and Pricing Models:

- Consideration of the overall logic and integrity of both the pricing and the rating Model, and confirmation that it is logically constructed, consistent and that the formulae, algorithms and calculations are arithmetically accurate in all material respects;
- Consideration of the assumptions and input data contained in the models and comparison to generally accepted industry best practices;
- Consideration that the models are fully aligned and takes the effects of Basel requirements fully and correctly into account; and
- The consultant will be required to document findings and relevant recommendations to the IDC.

In this respect, the consultant(s) must produce the intended rating and pricing validation reports, demonstrating a thorough understanding of the objectives and deliverables of this assignment.

The consultant(s) will be required to implement the recommendations of the validation exercise.

3. Project scope

The scope of work will also include but not limited to the following key elements:

- Consideration of the assumptions and data contained in the PD, EAD and LGD and comparison to generally accepted industry best practices;
- Consideration that the rating models are fully aligned and takes the effects of Basel requirements fully and correctly into account;
- Consideration of the various input assumptions related to pricing of debt, equity and guarantee investments;
- Assessment of the model governance;
- Assessment of the current implementation of the models;
- A detailed list of recommendations based on the review. The list could be potentially ranking between recommendations of low/medium/high importance;
Assessment of the performance of the model; and
Assessment of the conceptual soundness of methodology and adherence to best practice and Basel.

The consultant(s) will be required to document and discuss findings, and relevant recommendations to the IDC.

Further, post the validation process, the consultant(s) will be required to implement the recommendations and any other proposed recalibration that might enhance the efficiency of the models to align with the strategic intent of the Corporation.

4. **Expected methodology for the technical assistance**

The consultant(s) is expected to propose a specific methodology to tackle any gaps or deviation to best practice when it comes to pricing and rating of debt, equity and guarantee investments.

The methodology should also include a proposed timeline showing the different steps of the technical assistance.

5. **Expertise required**

The consultant(s) experience should include, but not limited to, the interrogation of underlying model documentation; validating the accuracy of underlying calculations and/or formulae and assessing reasonability of model outputs within the financial services industry.

The consultant(s) must demonstrate experience in both credit risk model development and validation by providing two (2) or more relevant contactable references for whom rating and pricing model validation assignments have been completed in the past 2 (two) years. The references experience must include but not limited to the following key elements:

- Ability to calculate probability of default (PD) estimates over any horizon from one year and above. There should be consideration to estimate a profile PD (multiyear) over the lifetime of the deal;
- PD methodology review and performance;
- Ability to perform independent recalibration of PD model;
- Ability to measure expected loss (EL) at counterparty level for both listed and unlisted deals;
- Knowledge to estimate the loss given default (LGD) of an investment using Basel approved various approaches;
o Ability to estimate the exposure at default (EAD) of a deal using Basel approved various methods; and
o Ability to demonstrate knowledge of risk-based pricing for debt, equity and guarantee investments.

The consultant(s) proposed team to be deployed for this assignment must demonstrate relevant experience in rating and pricing of debt, equity and guarantee investments.

The structure and composition of the proposed team should clearly outline the main disciplines/specialties of this project and the key personnel responsible for each specialty.

6. Monitoring, management & evaluation of the technical assistance

The consultant(s) is expected to propose deliverables at each step of the assignment. For instance, it might be an assessment report, a methodological note to describe the support he will provide to the team, action-plan, recommendations, end-of-assignment report.

The consultant must provide a detailed proposal including timelines of the approach to be used to carry out the scope of work outlined above and clearly demonstrate how each deliverable will be achieved. The consultant must include key guidelines to be followed during the process, timelines, data, and information requirements to facilitate the model validation process.

7. Selection of prequalified respondents

7.1. The purpose of this REOI is to establish a shortlist of not more than six (6) Prequalified Respondents for the subsequent Request for Proposal and to invite technical and financial proposals from these Respondents.

Completeness & Responsiveness Assessment

7.2. Information submitted by Respondents will first be assessed for completeness and responsiveness. IDC shall reject any information that is not substantially responsive to the requirements of this REOI document.

7.3. Any information lacking any Statement or Declaration (as applicable) will be considered incomplete and rejected.

7.4. Any Information submitted will not be considered substantially responsive if it fails to present at least one reference for each of the following criteria. Any additional reference in each field of expertise will increase the score of the Respondent.

7.5. Only Respondents who present at least one (1) reference for each of the below criteria 1 and 2 will be considered for shortlisting as Prequalified Respondents. Among these, IDC will shortlist a maximum of six (6) service providers, to whom the Request for Proposals to carry out the services shall be sent.
7.6. Disqualified Respondents will be informed accordingly by email to the authorized representative of the Respondent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technical Criteria</th>
<th>Scoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Criterion 1</strong> Respondent’s relevant experience in similar projects in the field of:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o interrogation of underlying model documentation;</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o validating the accuracy of underlying calculations and/ or formulae and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o assessing reasonability of model outputs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>within the financial services industry</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Criterion 2:</strong> Respondent’s relevant experience in similar projects in the field of:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o validation of pricing and the rating models,</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o modelling data, assumptions and inputs within the financial services industry,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o Recalibration.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall Technical Score available</strong></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Submission of Information

8.1. REOI responses must be submitted in electronic format only and must be e-mailed to the dedicated e-mail address as provided herein.

8.2. REOI responses should be in generally acceptable / standard electronic file format/s (i.e. Microsoft suite of products or pdf) to enable access thereto by the IDC for purposes of evaluating responses received. Where documents are presented in a format which cannot be accessed by the IDC through generally acceptable formats, such bid response will be disqualified.

The closing date for the submission of REOI is **28 August 2020** not later than **11:00 AM** (before midday). No late REOI will be considered. REOI must only be sent to tenders@idc.co.za. REOI’s sent to any other email address other than the one specified herein will be disqualified and will not be considered for evaluation. It is the bidder’s responsibility to ensure that the bid is sent to the correct email address and that this is received by the IDC before the closing date and time in IDC’s dedicated tender e-mail inbox / address (tenders@idc.co.za).
8.3. Bidders are advised to submit / send its REOI responses at least 15 minutes before the 11:00 am deadline to avoid any Information Technology (IT) network congestions or technical challenges in this regard which may result in bid responses being received late. IDC’s e-mail servers are configured to receive e-mails with sizes up to 50MB.

8.4. The IDC will not be held responsible for any of the following:

8.4.1 Responses sent to the incorrect email address;

8.4.2 Responses being inaccessible due to non-standard electronic file formats being utilised to submit responses by bidders;

8.4.3 Any security breaches and unlawful interception of tender / bid responses by third parties outside the IDC’s IT network domain;

8.4.4 Responses received late due to any IT network related congestions and/or technical challenges; and

8.4.5 Responses with file size limits greater than IDC’s e-mail receipt capacity of 50MB.

8.5. Only responses received via the specified email address will be considered.

8.6. Where a complete (Inclusive of all Schedules) bid response is not received by the IDC in its electronic email tender box (tenders@idc.co.za) by the closing date and time, such a response will be regarded as incomplete and late. Such late and / or incomplete bid will be disqualified. It is the IDC’s policy not to consider late responses for tender evaluation.

8.7. Amended responses may be sent to the electronic tender box (tenders@idc.co.za) marked “Amendment to bid” and should be received by the IDC before the closing date and time of the REOI.

9. Preparation of Bid Response

9.1. All the documentation submitted in response to this REOI must be in English.

9.2. The bidder is responsible for all the costs that it shall incur related to the preparation and submission of the bid document.

9.3. Responses submitted by bidders which are or are comprised of companies must be signed by a person or persons duly authorised thereto by a resolution of the applicable Board of Directors, a copy of which Resolution, duly certified, must be submitted with the bid.

9.4. The bidder should check the numbers of the pages of its bid to satisfy itself that none are missing or duplicated. No liability will be accepted by IDC in regard to anything arising from the fact that pages of a bid are missing or duplicated.

9.5. Bidder’s tax affairs with SARS must be in order (tax compliant status) and bidders must provide written confirmation to this effect as part of their tender response.
10. **Response Format**

Respondents shall submit their Information in accordance with the format specified below *(each schedule must be clearly marked)*. All the documentation submitted in Information must be in English. Consultants are free to form JVs or associate themselves with other firms or independent experts to ensure that all required know-how, expertise and experience are available to them.

11. **Cover Page**

The cover page must clearly indicate the REOI reference number, REOI description and the company’s name including any potential JV members and Sub-Consultants as well as contact details for an authorized representative of the Respondent).

12. **Presentation of Respondent**

Maximum 10 pages, inclusive of clear statements of type, property business scope, previous international experience of the Consultant and/or associated partners (if applicable).

13. **Statements and Declarations**

- A Power of Attorney authorizing the representative of the Respondent to submit the Information on behalf of the Respondent. If the Respondent is a Joint Venture,
  - the Power of Attorney shall be provided by the Lead Consultant nominated in the JV agreement or in the declarations of association, and
  - a Power of Attorney by all JV members / associated partners authorizing the representative of the Lead Consultant shall be provided.

- In case of a JV / association – a Declaration of Association clearly indicating the intended contractual arrangement with other firms or individual consultants and nominating the lead Consultant and including letters of intent of participating firms. In the absence of this document, the other members will be considered as subconsultants. Experiences and qualifications of subconsultants are not taken into account in the evaluation of the applications.

- A signed version of the Statement of Integrity, **Eligibility, Environmental, and Social Responsibility (see Annex 1)**.
• List of project references presented in the Format provided in Appendix 2 covering the last five (5) years only and strictly related to the envisaged services.

The preparation and the submission of the Information is the responsibility of the Respondent and no relief or consideration shall be given for errors and omissions. Information not providing the necessary content above shall be deemed incomplete and rejected.

14. **No Liability of IDC**

   Respondents should check the numbers of the pages to satisfy themselves that none are missing or duplicated. No liability will be accepted by IDC regarding anything arising from the fact that pages are missing or duplicated.

15. **IDC’S Rights**

   15.1. IDC reserves the right to reject any responses submitted which is incomplete or which fails to meet the specified requirements as called for under this REOI.
   15.2. The subsequent RFP process may include the acceptance and signing of a Non-Disclosure Agreement (NDA) by all invited Respondents to protect sensitive and confidential information, which the IDC may share with such respondents.
   15.3. The IDC reserves the right to clarify any aspect of information provided / submitted with respondents to this REOI.

16. **Request For Proposal**

   The subsequent RFP will be conducted on IDC’s standard terms and conditions in compliance with the applicable AFD Guidelines. The final award selection for all proposals passing the functionality threshold will be made through a combination of the achieved financial score and the BEE (“Black Economic Empowerment”) score in accordance with the relevant South Africa’s National Treasury legislations and IDC’s Procurement Policy.

17. **Enquiries**

   All communication and attempts to solicit information of any kind relative to this REOI should be sent by email to:

   **Name:** Joseph Phiri  
   **Email address:** josephp@idc.co.za

   Enquiries in relation to this REOI will not be entertained after **21 August 2020, 16h00**
The enquiries will be consolidated and IDC will issue one response and such response will be posted, within two days after the last day of enquiries, onto the IDC website (www.idc.co.za) under tenders i.e. next to the same REOI document as well as in the AFD website (https://afd.dgmarket.com/).

The IDC may respond to any enquiry in its absolute discretion and the Respondent acknowledges that it will have no claim against the IDC on the basis that its bid was disadvantaged by lack of information, or inability to resolve ambiguities.

All dates and times in this REOI are based on South African Standard Time (GMT+2). The dates and times stipulated in this REOI are subject to change at the IDC’s sole discretion. Any such changes will be communicated by the IDC to service providers using the same channel used to publish this REOI. These dates and times do not create an obligation on the part of the IDC to take any action or create any right for a service provider to demand that the IDC executes a certain action on a specific date at a certain time.

Appendix 1 to The Request for Expressions of Interest (To be submitted with the application, signed and unaltered)

Statement of Integrity, Eligibility and Environmental and Social Responsibility

Reference of the bid or proposal ____________________________________________ (the “Contract”)
To: _________________________________________________________________ (the “Contracting Authority”)

1. We recognise and accept that Agence Française de Développement (“AFD”) only finances projects of the Contracting Authority subject to its own conditions, which are set out in the Financing Agreement, which benefits directly or indirectly to the Contracting Authority. As a matter of consequence, no legal relationship exists between AFD and our company, our joint venture or our suppliers, contractors, subcontractors, consultants or subconsultants. The Contracting Authority retains exclusive responsibility for the preparation and implementation of the procurement process and performance of the contract. The Contracting Authority means the Purchaser, the Employer, the Client, as the case may be, for the procurement of goods, works, plants, consulting services or non-consulting services.

2. We hereby certify that neither we nor any other member of our joint venture or any of our suppliers, contractors, subcontractors, consultants or subconsultants are in any of the following situations:

2.1 Being bankrupt, wound up or ceasing our activities, having our activities administered by the courts, having entered into receivership, reorganisation or being in any analogous situation arising from any similar procedure;

2.2 Having been:

a) convicted, within the past five years by a court decision, which has the force of res judicata in the country where the Contract is implemented, of fraud, corruption or of any other offense committed during a procurement process or performance of a contract (in the event of such conviction, you may attach to this Statement of Integrity
supporting information showing that this conviction is not relevant in the context of this Contract);

b) subject to an administrative sanction within the past five years by the European Union or by the competent authorities of the country where we are constituted, for fraud, corruption or for any other offense committed during a procurement process or performance of a contract (in the event of such sanction, you may attach to this Statement of Integrity supporting information showing that this sanction is not relevant in the context of this Contract);

c) convicted, within the past five years by a court decision, which has the force of res judicata, of fraud, corruption or of any other offense committed during the procurement process or performance of an AFD-financed contract;

2.3 Being listed for financial sanctions by the United Nations, the European Union and/or France for the purposes of fight against terrorist financing or threat to international peace and security;

2.4 Having been subject within the past five years to a contract termination fully settled against us for significant or persistent failure to comply with our contractual obligations during contract performance, unless this termination was challenged and dispute resolution is still pending or has not confirmed a full settlement against us;

2.5 Not having fulfilled our fiscal obligations regarding payments of taxes in accordance with the legal provisions of either the country where we are constituted or the Contracting Authority's country;

2.6 Being subject to an exclusion decision of the World Bank and being listed on the website http://www.worldbank.org/debarr (in the event of such exclusion, you may attach to this Statement of Integrity supporting information showing that this exclusion is not relevant in the context of this Contract);

2.7 Having created false documents or committed misrepresentation in documentation requested by the Contracting Authority as part of the procurement process of this Contract.

3. We hereby certify that neither we, nor any of the members of our joint venture or any of our suppliers, contractors, subcontractors, consultants or subconsultants are in any of the following situations of conflict of interest:

3.1 Being an affiliate controlled by the Contracting Authority or a shareholder controlling the Contracting Authority, unless the stemming conflict of interest has been brought to the attention of AFD and resolved to its satisfaction;

3.2 Having a business or family relationship with a Contracting Authority's staff involved in the procurement process or the supervision of the resulting Contract, unless the stemming conflict of interest has been brought to the attention of AFD and resolved to its satisfaction;

3.3 Being controlled by or controlling another bidder or consultant, or being under common control with another bidder or consultant, or receiving from or granting subsidies directly or indirectly to another bidder or consultant, having the same legal representative as another bidder or consultant, maintaining direct or indirect contacts with another bidder or consultant which allows us to have or give access to information contained in the respective applications, bids or proposals, influencing them or influencing decisions of the Contracting Authority;

3.4 Being engaged in a consulting services activity, which, by its nature, may be in conflict with the assignments that we would carry out for the Contracting Authority;

3.5 In the case of procurement of goods, works or plants:

a) Having prepared or having been associated with a consultant who prepared specifications, drawings, calculations and other documentation to be used in the procurement process of this Contract;
b) Having been recruited (or being proposed to be recruited) ourselves or any of our affiliates, to carry out works supervision or inspection for this Contract.

4. If we are a state-owned entity, and to compete in a procurement process, we certify that we have legal and financial autonomy and that we operate under commercial laws and regulations.

5. We undertake to bring to the attention of the Contracting Authority, which will inform AFD, any change in situation with regard to points 2 to 4 here above.

6. In the context of the procurement process and performance of the corresponding contract:
   6.1 We have not and we will not engage in any dishonest conduct (act or omission) deliberately intended to deceive others, to intentionally conceal items, to violate or vitiate someone's consent, to make them circumvent legal or regulatory requirements and/or to violate their internal rules in order to obtain illegitimate profit;
   6.2 We have not and we will not engage in any dishonest conduct (act or omission) contrary to our legal or regulatory obligations or our internal rules in order to obtain illegitimate profit;
   6.3 We have not promised, offered or given and we will not promise, offer or give, directly or indirectly to (i) any Person who holds a legislative, executive, administrative or judicial mandate within the State of the Contracting Authority regardless of whether that Person was nominated or elected, regardless of the permanent or temporary, paid or unpaid nature of the position and regardless of the hierarchical level the Person occupies, (ii) any other Person who performs a public function, including for a State institution or a State-owned company, or who provides a public service, or (iii) any other person defined as a Public Officer by the national laws of the Contracting Authority's country, an undue advantage of any kind, for himself or for another Person or entity, for such Public Officer to act or refrain from acting in his official capacity;
   6.4 We have not promised, offered or given and we will not promise, offer or give, directly or indirectly to any Person who occupies an executive position in a private sector entity or works for such an entity, regardless of the nature of his/her capacity, any undue advantage of any kind, for himself or another Person or entity for such Person to perform or refrain from performing any act in breach of its legal, contractual or professional obligations;
   6.5 We have not and we will not engage in any practice likely to influence the contract award process to the detriment of the Contracting Authority and, in particular, in any anti-competitive practice having for object or for effect to prevent, restrict or distort competition, namely by limiting access to the market or the free exercise of competition by other undertakings;
   6.6 Neither we nor any of the members of our joint venture or any of our suppliers, contractors, subcontractors, consultants or subconsultants shall acquire or supply any equipment nor operate in any sectors under an embargo of the United Nations, the European Union or France;
   6.7 We commit ourselves to comply with and ensure that all of our suppliers, contractors, subcontractors, consultants or subconsultants comply with international environmental and labour standards, consistent with laws and regulations applicable in the country of implementation of the Contract, including the fundamental conventions of the International Labour Organisation (ILO) and international environmental treaties. Moreover, we shall implement environmental and social risks mitigation measures when specified in the environmental and social commitment plan (ESCP) provided by the Contracting Authority.

7. We, as well as members of our joint venture and our suppliers, contractors, subcontractors, consultants or subconsultants authorise AFD to inspect accounts, records and other documents relating to the procurement process and performance of the contract and to have them audited by auditors appointed by AFD.

Name: __________________________ In the capacity of: __________________________
Duly empowered to sign in the name and on behalf of\textsuperscript{1}: ____________________________________________

Signature: __________________________________________________________________________________________

Dated: __________________________________________________________________________________________
### APPENDIX 2: Reference Project format

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref no : 1</th>
<th>Project title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of legal entity (declaring consultant)</td>
<td>Project Country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Detailed description of project (background, objectives and main activities)**

Describe the project background, objectives and main activities rendered hereunder:

- Name of Client:
- Address of Client:
- Contact Person:
- Telephone number:
- Email-Address:

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref no : 2</th>
<th>Project title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of legal entity (declaring consultant)</td>
<td>Project Country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Detailed description of project (background, objectives and main activities)**

Describe the project background, objectives and main activities rendered hereunder:

- Name of Client:
- Address of Client:
- Contact Person:
- Telephone number:
- Email-Address:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref no : 3</th>
<th>Project title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of legal entity (declaring consultant)</td>
<td>Project Country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Detailed description of project (background, objectives and main activities)**

Describe the project background, objectives and main activities rendered hereunder:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref no : 4</th>
<th>Project title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of legal entity (declaring consultant)</td>
<td>Project Country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Detailed description of project (background, objectives and main activities)**

Describe the project background, objectives and main activities rendered hereunder:

Name of Client:  
Address of Client:  
Contact Person:  
Telephone number:  
Email-Address:  

Name of Client:  
Address of Client:  
Contact Person:  
Telephone number:  
Email-Address:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref no : 5</th>
<th>Project title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name of legal entity (declaring consultant)</td>
<td>Project Country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Detailed description of project (background, objectives and main activities) | Services provided by the legal entity for the project

Describe the project background, objectives and main activities rendered hereunder:

Name of Client:
Address of Client:
Contact Person:
Telephone number:
Email-Address:

Further Instructions to Reference Project template:

- * If the overall project value refers to overall project cost inclusive of Consulting services please indicate the consulting fee separately. The portion carried out by the legal entity refers to that figure. In case the project cost is not denominated in ZAR, the relevant figures shall be converted into ZAR.
APPENDIX 3: List of Available Personnel Structure

1. Access to Expertise Required for the Assignment

Complete the list below to demonstrate the extent to which you have access (internally / externally) to expertise required for this assignment. Include home-office project management / administration and backstopping personnel. Include freelance personnel and sub-consultant staff, if applicable. Do not attach CVs as no detailed evaluation of candidates for the project team shall be carried out at this REOI stage. The Respondent shall demonstrate the depth of their own capacity by naming potential candidates for the project team. The focus is on access and availability to project relevant in-house expertise. While it is understood that prequalified Respondents are not required to include staff named below into the proposal during the RFP process, it is expected that the level of in-house expertise in the proposal is consistent with the level demonstrated in this REOI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Years of Professional Experience in similar projects and project management</th>
<th>Years of Experience in validation of rating and pricing methodology and tool</th>
<th>Relationship with the Respondent*</th>
<th>Country/Regional Experience</th>
<th>Relevant Project References (Description of project-related experience)</th>
<th>Project Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For freelance experts (e.g. with retainer contracts or formal agreements) indicate “FE” and how long the expert has been associated with the Respondent. For sub-consultant staff indicate “Sub”. Staff from affiliated firms of the Respondent shall be considered as sub-consultant staff. If no acronym is indicated, it is assumed that the staff is permanently employed by the Respondent.